Session 6: Strategies for Action for GRB - Local / Municipal Level

What have been the positive experiences of local level GRB?

- 1. Stronger, more resilient, more engaged communities
- 2. New leadership to include leadership from more marginalised groups
- 3. Closer relationship between local government and 'the people'
- 4. Better use of facilities
- 5. A cleaner environment/city with better amenities
- 6. Wider understanding of the benefits of participatory GRB

What encourages participation?

- 1. Commitment to build awareness and capacity across groups
- 2. Motivation from stakeholders
- 3. Where participation is in partnership with (local) government, political will to support it, backed by appropriate structures and budget allocation
- 4. Tangible results to assure people their input counts
- 5. Sensitivity to times and place of meeting, and language used, to ensure as great an inclusion as possible (not least of women)

What is the role of civil society organisations / grassroots in participatory budgeting

- 1. Advocacy for GRB, including sharing of best practices from the region and globe
- 2. Monitoring of GRB, including demonstration of appropriate GRB tools and data systems
- 3. Mobilisation of people especially at community level to be involved in participatory GRB, including helping with capacity building
- 4. Helping documentation of narratives of women and men to show the actual/real impact of GRB
- 5. Help extend partnerships and broaden the scope for decision making.
- 6. Play the role of mediator where conflict arises within the communities and/or between communities/local government

Can we identify key factors that have made GRPB successful within local/municipal governments?

- 1. Community commitment and involvement from the top via political will
- 2. Commonality of goals @ community and local government
- 3. Appropriate policies, regulations, structures and allocations
- 4. Good information sharing and space for dialogues/debate
- 5. Commitment to continuity and long-term goals
- 6. Understanding of different needs
- 7. Good inclusive monitoring and evaluation
- 8. Consistency of those in any GRB team, to build trust and confidence

What have been the difficulties in doing GRB at local level? How do we address these?

- 1. Mind-set problems: patriarchy, indifference, perception that GRB is 'more work', GRB perceived as 'a women's issue' and therefore not important
- 2. Red-tape; lack of (especially female) leadership; lack of champions; conservative male-dominated decision-making; hierarchy
- 3. Clash of priorities/expectations between communities/local government
- 4. Commitment and time availability both from residents and local council representatives.
- 5. The complexity of negotiating with various local personalities and conflicting interest groups in the communities.

- 6. Lack of budget and other resources, including expertise
- 7. Frustration at pace of change, which can be slow
- 8. Lack of basic sex-disaggregated data and analysis little information to go on

How to address these issues?

- 1. Build understanding via capacity development, data analysis, expertise
- 2. Develop appropriate structures and frameworks
- 3. Develop appropriate legislation and processes (for example, developing staff KPIs to include GRB, and develop a GRB ISO?)
- 4. Keep linking GRB to wider goals of gender equality, good governance and social justice
- 5. Raise the level of awareness through public campaigns and other public events